

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepio.gov

ELECTRONIC

03/23/2012

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,269	03/03/2004	Hyun-Jei Chung	SDIYPL386AUS	8941
	7590 03/23/201 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IR VINE, CA 92614			HODGE, ROBERT W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , ,	•		1729	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com efiling@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. 10/791,269	Applicant(s) CHUNG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
ROBERT HODGE	1729

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evide 	ence, which places the application in condition for allowance;				
	.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with e not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of				
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date 					
In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later					
within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The current the prior Advisory Action or SM MONTHS from the mailing date of Examiner Note: II box 1 is checked, check either box (a), IC FIRST RESPONSE TO APPUCANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINAL REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED ST Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of extension lee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining.	It the final rejection, whichever is earlier, 0) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE AL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL TUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f), on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The				
appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if checl mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any ear NOTICE OF APPEAL	ked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance wind Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CA), or any extension thereof (37 CA), appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time perional MENDMENTS.	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of				
 The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to 	About and a filling a botal will make a suscept because				
 a) The proposed afferioriterits filed after a final rejection, but prior to a) They raise new issues that would require further considerat 					
b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	ionalia or scarcii (scomo i E sciony),				
c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
 They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4 					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.110 and 41.35(a)).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable is allowable claim(s). 					
7. Moreover purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) Movement with the entered, or (b) will be entered, and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reason presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	on the date of filling a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because s why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filled after the date of filling the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filling a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. \(\sumeq \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. ☐ Other: TATUS OF CLAIMS					
4. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,8 and 19.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
	/Robert Hodge/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1729				

Continuation of 3, NOTE: The scope of the claims has been amended to specify the sealing surfaces instead of just surfaces of the case which requires further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 1, 8 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph only.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants remarks are directed toward the amendments to the claims which require further search and/or consideration.